



Beyond Uniformity: A Philosophical Inquiry into Inclusive Mathematics Education and Social Justice

Surya Adi Saputra¹, Deny Hadi Siswanto², Nanik Tri Purwaningsih³

¹Yogyakarta State University, Indonesia

²Muhammadiyah Mlati Senior High School, Indonesia

³Ahmad Dahlan University, Indonesia

E-mail: suryasaputra.2023@student.uny.ac.id¹, denyisiswanto11@guru.sma.belajar.id², 2407050014@webmail.uad.ac.id³

Article Info

Article history:

Received January 07, 2026

Revised January 18, 2026

Accepted January 20, 2026

Keywords:

Inclusive Education,
Mathematics Learning,
Educational Philosophy,
Universal Design for Learning,
Adaptive Evaluation

ABSTRACT

This study aims to explore the nature of the philosophy of inclusive education and its implications for mathematics learning. Using library research with a descriptive qualitative approach, data were collected through documentation techniques from reputable scientific literature and global policy documents such as UNESCO and the OECD. The results show that the philosophy of inclusion is grounded in the principles of equality and justice, which demands a paradigm shift from uniformity to flexibility in learning. The implementation of the Universal Design for Learning (UDL) framework is key to accessibility through varied material presentation. Mathematics teachers are transforming from single instructors to empathetic facilitators who differentiate instruction and create a safe environment. Furthermore, the evaluation system is shifting to authentic, adaptive, and process-oriented assessment. Despite challenges related to competency and resources, the use of technology and professional collaboration offer significant opportunities to realize mathematics as an instrument of social justice. It is recommended that teachers' pedagogical competencies be strengthened in differentiation strategies, along with school policies that support assessment flexibility to ensure mathematical literacy is equally accessible to all students.

This is an open access article under the [CC BY-SA](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/) license.



Article Info

Article history:

Received January 07, 2026

Revised January 18, 2026

Accepted January 20, 2026

Kata kunci:

Pendidikan Inklusi,
Pembelajaran Matematika,
Filosofi Pendidikan,
Universal Design for Learning,
Evaluasi Adaptif

ABSTRAK

Penelitian ini bertujuan mengeksplorasi hakikat filosofi pendidikan inklusi dan implikasinya terhadap pembelajaran matematika. Menggunakan metode studi kepustakaan (library research) dengan pendekatan kualitatif deskriptif, data dikumpulkan melalui teknik dokumentasi dari literatur ilmiah bereputasi serta dokumen kebijakan global seperti UNESCO dan OECD. Hasil penelitian menunjukkan bahwa filosofi inklusi berlandaskan pada prinsip kesetaraan dan keadilan, yang menuntut perubahan paradigma dari penyeragaman menuju fleksibilitas pembelajaran. Implementasi kerangka Universal Design for Learning (UDL) menjadi kunci aksesibilitas melalui penyajian materi yang variatif. Guru matematika bertransformasi dari pengajar tunggal menjadi fasilitator empatik yang melakukan diferensiasi instruksional dan penciptaan lingkungan aman. Selain itu, sistem evaluasi bergeser menjadi penilaian autentik yang adaptif dan berorientasi proses. Meskipun menghadapi tantangan kompetensi dan sarana, pemanfaatan teknologi dan kolaborasi profesional menawarkan peluang besar untuk mewujudkan matematika sebagai instrumen keadilan sosial. Disarankan adanya penguatan kompetensi pedagogik guru dalam strategi diferensiasi serta kebijakan sekolah yang mendukung fleksibilitas penilaian untuk memastikan literasi

This is an open access article under the [CC BY-SA](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/) license.



Corresponding Author:

Surya Adi Saputra
Yogyakarta State University, Indonesia
Email: suryasaputra.2023@student.uny.ac.id

INTRODUCTION

Inclusive education is not merely an administrative policy regarding the placement of students with special needs in regular classrooms, but a manifestation of human rights and social justice principles (Mala et al., 2025). In the global educational landscape, the inclusion paradigm demands a fundamental shift from systems that enforce uniformity toward systems that celebrate learner diversity (Zimmerman, 2002). However, in practice, many educational systems remain trapped in a "one-size-fits-all" approach that frequently marginalizes students with diverse learning characteristics. This challenge is particularly critical in mathematics, which has traditionally been perceived as a rigid discipline accessible only to those with specific cognitive abilities (Li et al., 2024; Maba et al., 2025).

Injustice in mathematics education often stems from the perception that mathematical failure is purely a matter of individual deficit rather than an issue of instructional accessibility (Limori et al., 2025; Novantoro et al., 2025). Philosophically, inclusive mathematics education must be viewed as an instrument of social justice that grants every student an equal right to meaningful learning experiences. Mathematics is not just a collection of abstract procedures; it is a means to develop logical reasoning and independence, which are essential for every citizen to participate effectively in society (Fitriana et al., 2025; OECD, 2023). Therefore, deconstructing exclusive pedagogical practices is an urgent step to ensure that mathematical literacy no longer serves as a barrier for marginalized students.

One strategic solution in responding to the challenges of uniformity is the implementation of the Universal Design for Learning (UDL) framework. UDL offers a flexible design philosophy by providing multiple means of representation, engagement, and expression for students (CAST, 2018). In a mathematical context, UDL enables teachers to present concepts through concrete manipulatives, visual aids, or digital media tailored to each individual's unique needs. This approach aligns with the concept of differentiated instruction, which emphasizes that educational equity is achieved when teachers respond to students' learning readiness personally, rather than through monotonous delivery (Osmanoglu & Girit-Yildiz, 2024; Tomlinson, 2014).

The implementation of this inclusive philosophy directly implies a transformation of teacher roles and evaluation systems. Mathematics teachers are no longer mere sources of information but act as facilitators who must possess both pedagogical competence and empathy to identify learning barriers (Naufal et al., 2025; UNESCO, 2017). Simultaneously, traditional evaluation systems that focus solely on final scores must be reformed into authentic assessments that value individual process and progress. According to Black &



Wiliam (1998) and Pollock (2006), adaptive formative assessment provides opportunities for students to demonstrate their understanding without being hindered by physical or cognitive limitations, thereby creating a more just and supportive learning ecosystem.

While opportunities for transformation are wide open through technological advancements and educational policies, structural barriers such as negative societal perceptions and limited supporting facilities remain significant challenges (Saleh et al., 2025; Siswanto et al., 2026; Tarso et al., 2025). This research intends to conduct a deep philosophical inquiry into how social justice values can be integrated into actual practice within the mathematics classroom. By exploring the synergy between inclusive philosophy, UDL strategies, and the reflective role of the teacher, this study aims to offer new perspectives on dismantling the walls of exclusivity. Ultimately, the effort to humanize mathematics through inclusivity is a concrete step toward forming a more participatory society that values systemic diversity.

METHOD

This research employs a library research method with a descriptive qualitative approach to explore the philosophy of inclusive education within mathematics learning (Sugiyono, 2019). Data were collected using documentation techniques by tracing, identifying, and analyzing reputable scientific literature, educational policy documents, and relevant prior research findings, such as publications from UNESCO, OECD, and inclusive education experts. The data analysis process was conducted through four systematic stages: collecting literature pertinent to the research topic, data reduction to focus on philosophical aspects, Universal Design for Learning (UDL) design principles, and the roles of teachers and evaluation. This was followed by data presentation in the form of narratives and supporting tables, leading to a final deductive conclusion (Alghiffari et al., 2024; Nugroho et al., 2025). A literature review guide was utilized as the research instrument to ensure objectivity in synthesizing findings, thereby producing a comprehensive discussion on the challenges, opportunities, and the paradigm transformation toward mathematics learning that is both humanistic and accessible to all learners.

RESULTS

1. The Philosophical Essence of Inclusive Education in Mathematics Learning

The results of the literature study indicate that the philosophy of inclusive education is rooted in the principles of equality, justice, and appreciation for student diversity without discrimination. Inclusive education views every learner as an individual with unique characteristics, potential, and learning needs. In the context of mathematics learning, this principle demands a paradigm shift from uniformity-oriented instruction toward learning that accommodates the diverse abilities and backgrounds of students (Ainscow, 2020).

Furthermore, the philosophy of inclusive education asserts that every student, including those with special needs, possesses an equal right to obtain meaningful mathematical learning experiences. Mathematics is not viewed as a subject accessible only to students with certain cognitive abilities, but rather as a field of study that can be mastered by all students through the adjustment of methods, media, and instructional strategies. Adaptive learning approaches are key to realizing inclusive principles, ensuring that no student is marginalized in the learning process (Florian, 2019).

Additionally, the literature reveals that mathematics within the framework of inclusive education functions not only as a collection of abstract concepts and procedures but also as a



means to develop logical reasoning, problem-solving skills, and learning independence. Inclusive mathematics education encourages students to relate mathematical concepts to real-world situations and daily experiences (Siswanto et al., 2025). Thus, the philosophy of inclusive education contributes to creating a humanistic, contextualized mathematics learning environment oriented toward the holistic development of student potential.

Table 1. The Essence of Inclusive Education Philosophy in Mathematics Learning

Philosophical Aspects	The Meaning of Inclusive Education	Implications for Mathematics Learning
Equality	Every student has the same right to education	All students have access to mathematics learning without discrimination.
Justice	Services are tailored to individual needs	Learning strategies and methods are tailored to student abilities.
Diversity	Differences are seen as strengths	A variety of mathematical media and approaches are used.
Meaningful Learning	Focus on process and understanding	Mathematics is linked to real-life contexts.
Potential Development	Every student has the potential to develop	Reasoning, problem-solving, and independent learning are developed.

The philosophy of inclusive education in mathematics learning emphasizes the importance of equality, fairness, and respect for diversity as the primary foundation of the learning process. This philosophical implication encourages teachers to design adaptive, contextual, and student-centered mathematics learning. By applying these inclusive principles, mathematics learning is oriented not only toward mastering concepts but also toward developing reasoning, problem-solving, and independent learning for all students in a fair and meaningful manner.

2. Inclusive Principles in Mathematics Instructional Design

Research findings indicate that the application of inclusive education philosophy in mathematics learning demands an instructional design that is flexible and responsive to the diverse abilities of students. Inclusive mathematics learning is not designed to standardize learning methods or achievement outcomes, but rather to provide equitable opportunities for every student to understand mathematical concepts according to their readiness and needs. Therefore, instructional planning must consider variations in cognitive abilities, learning styles, and potential learning barriers experienced by students (Tomlinson, 2014).

In educational literature, the Universal Design for Learning (UDL) framework is recommended as a philosophical and pedagogical foundation for designing inclusive mathematics instruction. UDL emphasizes providing multiple means of representation, engagement, and expression. Through this approach, mathematical concepts can be delivered via various representations such as visual, symbolic, or concrete making them more accessible to students with diverse learning characteristics (CAST, 2018).

Furthermore, the implementation of inclusive principles in mathematics learning is reflected in the use of varied instructional strategies and media. Learning does not focus on a single method but provides alternative ways of learning, such as the use of concrete manipulatives or props, the utilization of digital technology, and collaborative learning (Apriwulan et al., 2025; Janah et al., 2025; Setiawan et al., 2024). This approach not only



enhances the understanding of mathematical concepts but also encourages active participation and social interaction among students within an inclusive classroom.

Table 2. Principles of Inclusion in Mathematics Learning Design

Inclusion Principles	Description	Implementation in Mathematics Learning
Flexibility	Adjusting learning to meet student needs	Variety of learning methods, media, and tempo
Universal Design for Learning (UDL)	Inclusive and accessible learning framework	Diverse representations, strategies, and evaluations
Diverse Representation	Presentation of material in various formats	Visuals, symbols, and concrete manipulatives
Active Participation	Involvement of all students	Group discussions and collaborative learning
Accessibility	Equal learning opportunities	Utilization of digital technology and adaptive media

The principle of inclusion in mathematics learning design emphasizes flexibility, accessibility, and diversity of learning strategies. The application of Universal Design for Learning enables teachers to design mathematics lessons that are accessible to all students without exception. By providing a variety of representations, methods, and forms of evaluation, mathematics learning becomes more adaptive, participatory, and meaningful for all students in inclusive classrooms.

3. The Role of the Mathematics Teacher from an Inclusive Philosophical Perspective

Within the framework of inclusive education, mathematics teachers are no longer merely sources of information or sole instructors; instead, they act as primary facilitators ensuring equitable access for all students. The philosophy of inclusion emphasizes that classroom diversity is a strength rather than an obstacle. Therefore, teachers hold the moral and professional responsibility to place students at the center of every instructional process, ensuring that every individual regardless of their learning barriers has an equal opportunity to understand mathematical concepts (Rochmat et al., 2025).

Operationally, this role encompasses a profound ability to identify unique individual learning needs. Mathematics teachers are required to perform instructional differentiation, ranging from material modification to the adjustment of problem-solving strategies, to ensure relevance to each child's level of learning readiness. Beyond cognitive aspects, creating an emotionally safe and supportive classroom climate is a crucial responsibility. An inclusive environment allows students to feel valued, thereby encouraging them to explore more boldly without feeling intimidated by the complexity of numbers and mathematical logic.

The success of this inclusive implementation highly depends on the integration of pedagogical competence and a reflective attitude. Referring to Sharma & Sokal (2016) and UNESCO (2023) guidelines, effective teachers in inclusive classrooms are those who possess high empathy and a commitment to continuously evaluating their teaching practices. Such teachers do not rely solely on conventional methods but actively seek innovative solutions to dismantle learning barriers. Consequently, the professional competence of the teacher becomes the key factor determining whether mathematics learning serves as a bridge to equality or, conversely, a dividing wall for students.

**Table 3.** Dimensions of the Teacher's Role in Inclusive Learning

Role Dimension	Main Activities	Impact on Students
Identification and Assessment	Map individual student learning strengths and barriers	Students receive support tailored to their learning profiles
Instructional Differentiation	Adjust mathematics methods, media, and teaching aids as needed	Mathematics materials become more accessible and easier to understand
Environmental Creation	Create a classroom atmosphere that values diversity and supports each other	Students' self-confidence and motivation to learn are enhanced
Reflective Attitude	Regularly evaluate the effectiveness of teaching strategies	Continuous improvements in the quality of learning are realized

The success of inclusive education in mathematics is the result of synergy between technical aspects (pedagogy) and values (attitudes). The teacher's role is not simply about transmitting formulas, but rather about building a systematic, supportive ecosystem, from proper identification to ongoing evaluation to ensure that no student is left behind in the learning process.

4. Implications of Inclusive Education Philosophy on Mathematics Learning Evaluation

The philosophy of inclusive education brings a paradigm shift in mathematics evaluation, moving from rigid and uniform approaches toward those that are more equitable and adaptive. The fundamental principle is that fairness does not mean giving identical tests to all students, but rather providing instruments that allow each individual to demonstrate their competence without being hindered by physical or cognitive limitations. In this context, evaluation is no longer viewed as a tool for screening or labeling, but as a means to understand the extent to which curriculum modifications have successfully accommodated diverse learning needs within the classroom.

Implementation of this evaluation emphasizes authentic assessment oriented toward continuous student growth rather than mere final scores. Mathematics teachers are expected to shift from a dependence on conventional written exams toward more diverse instruments, such as portfolios, project-based tasks, and daily formative assessments. Through this approach, students' thinking dynamics and problem-solving logic can be monitored more comprehensively. This provides an opportunity for students who may struggle with time-based tests to demonstrate their mastery of mathematical concepts through methods more relevant to their individual strengths.

In line with the thoughts of Black & Wiliam (1998), flexible evaluation is crucial as it respects the learning styles and developmental pace of each student. By providing various options for expressing understanding such as through physical models, oral presentations, or visual documentation barriers typically encountered in standardized mathematics tests can be minimized. A commitment to adaptive evaluation not only provides more accurate



achievement data for teachers but also fosters self-confidence and intrinsic motivation in students, as they feel valued based on their own personal progress.

Table 4. Comparison of Evaluation Models in the Context of Inclusion

Types of Evaluation	Key Characteristics	Excellence in an Inclusive Perspective
Portfolio	A collection of student work (assignments, exercises, reflections) over a specific period	Shows students' progressive development and effort over time
Project-Based	Contextual assignments that involve in-depth real-world problem-solving	Enables students to apply mathematical concepts according to their interests and learning styles
Formative Assessment	Continuous assessment throughout the learning process (quizzes, observations, feedback)	Provides immediate intervention for students experiencing difficulty before moving on to new material
Adaptive Assessment	Adjustment of test format and duration to meet specific student needs	Ensures that physical or sensory barriers do not hinder the measurement of mathematical ability

Evaluation in inclusive education is shifting from judgmental to developmental methods. The use of various types of evaluation, such as portfolios and projects, demonstrates that mathematical understanding can be measured more humanely and accurately when teachers allow for students' diverse ways of processing and presenting information.

5. Challenges and Opportunities in Implementing Inclusive Education Philosophy in Mathematics

The implementation of inclusive education in mathematics often encounters structural barriers and perceptual hurdles. The primary challenges include limited teacher competence in managing diverse classrooms and a lack of supporting facilities to facilitate students' special needs. Furthermore, the persistent stigma that mathematics is a rigid, abstract, and difficult-to-adapt discipline creates psychological barriers for both educators and learners. This necessitates a mindset shift: mathematics is not an "exclusive" subject, but rather a field of study accessible to everyone through appropriate approaches.

Despite these challenges, significant opportunities exist through technological advancements and the strengthening of professional networks. The use of instructional technology, such as adaptive mathematics software and digital manipulatives, provides teachers with the flexibility to perform instructional differentiation more efficiently. Additionally, collaboration among teachers through learning communities (such as teacher forums or internal school discussion groups) allows for the exchange of best practices in handling student diversity. Support from increasingly inclusion-oriented educational policies provides legitimacy for schools to continue innovating in creating learning spaces that are friendlier to all children.

Broadly speaking, inclusive mathematics learning has the potential to become a vehicle for social justice. Referring to the OECD (2023) perspective, when mathematics is taught with inclusive principles, it not only equips students with numeracy skills but also instills values of equality and participation. By providing fair access to mathematical literacy, the education



system is effectively dismantling the walls of marginalization. Ultimately, successfully overcoming challenges in the inclusive mathematics classroom is a concrete step toward realizing a more just society that systematically values diversity.

Table 5. Mapping Strategic Challenges and Solutions

Aspects	Key Challenges	Strategic Opportunities & Solutions
Teacher Competence	Lack of expertise in inclusive pedagogy and curriculum modification	Strengthening team collaboration (team teaching) and ongoing training
Learning Media	Limited availability of disability-friendly mathematics teaching aids	Integrating assistive technology and utilizing interactive digital media
Public Perception	The perception that mathematics is too difficult for students with learning disabilities	Disseminating the philosophy of inclusion and student-centered learning design
Policy	Lack of operational guidance on implementing inclusive evaluation	Strengthening school policies that support assessment flexibility

Every challenge in implementing math inclusion has a solution through innovation and collaboration. The success of the transition to an inclusive classroom depends heavily on schools' ability to transform technical and paradigm barriers into creative opportunities through the use of technology and strengthening systemic support.

DISCUSSION

The application of inclusive education philosophy in mathematics marks a fundamental shift from a "one-size-fits-all" approach toward a model that celebrates diversity. Based on research findings, inclusion is not merely the placement of students with special needs in regular classrooms; rather, it is a commitment to equality and justice of access (Ainscow, 2020). This corrects traditional views that frequently marginalize students with differing cognitive abilities. By viewing diversity as a strength, mathematics is transformed into a humanistic discipline where every learner possesses an equal right to explore numerical concepts without discrimination (Florian, 2019).

The practical implementation of this philosophy is realized through the Universal Design for Learning (UDL) framework. Findings indicate that flexibility in material presentation and the expression of understanding are key to ensuring mathematics is accessible to all (CAST, 2018). The use of varied representations ranging from concrete manipulatives to digital media enables students with different learning styles to grasp the essence of mathematical logic. This aligns with the principle of differentiation, which emphasizes that instruction must be responsive to individual learning readiness Tomlinson (2014), ensuring that learning barriers no longer obstruct active student participation in the classroom.

The success of such instructional design heavily relies on the strategic role of the teacher as an inclusive facilitator. Teachers are no longer tasked solely with transferring formulas; they must possess the pedagogical competence to identify the unique needs of every student (UNESCO, 2023). This finding confirms that the teacher's reflective attitude and empathy are determinant factors in creating an emotionally safe classroom climate. When teachers can integrate adaptive problem-solving strategies with emotional support, students



become more courageous in exploration, ultimately dismantling the stigma that mathematics is an intimidating subject (Sharma & Sokal, 2016).

This paradigm shift naturally demands a reform of the evaluation system. Evaluation in an inclusive classroom must be adaptive and process-oriented, rather than focusing strictly on final scores in standardized exams (Shigematsu et al., 2025; Wahyuni et al., 2024). The use of authentic assessments, such as portfolios and projects, provides a more accurate picture of the development of a student's logical reasoning (Black & Wiliam, 1998). By providing space for students to demonstrate their understanding through various means, teachers can perform more targeted interventions. This proves that fair evaluation is one that respects the pace of individual development and facilitates learning independence (Rip & Courtial, 1984; Tan & Ng, 2021).

However, the transition toward inclusive practices is not without obstacles. Structural challenges, such as limited facilities and negative perceptions regarding the mathematical abilities of students with special needs, remain significant hurdles (Alkaabi et al., 2022). A lack of teacher competence in modifying the curriculum often makes the implementation of inclusion feel burdensome (Astuti et al., 2025; Astiwi, 2025). Nevertheless, significant opportunities arise through the use of assistive technology, which can bridge accessibility gaps. Collaboration between teachers and strong policy support serve as essential foundations for transforming these challenges into sustainable instructional innovations.

In conclusion, inclusive mathematics learning has broader implications than mere academic achievement; it is an instrument of social justice. When the education system provides equitable access to mathematical literacy, it essentially builds a more participatory society (Hatmoko et al., 2025; Wahyuni, Setiawan, et al., 2024). Mathematics taught inclusively equips students with the critical thinking and problem-solving skills necessary to face the real world. Thus, the synergy between a strong philosophy, flexible instructional design, and the role of an empathetic teacher is the key to realizing an education that truly humanizes individuals.

CONCLUSION

The results of this study indicate that the implementation of the philosophy of inclusive education in mathematics has successfully transformed the learning paradigm into a more humanistic one through the integration of the Universal Design for Learning (UDL) framework, the role of teachers as empathetic facilitators, and an authentic assessment system that is adaptive to student diversity. Although structural challenges and negative perceptions persist, significant opportunities exist through the synergy of technology and professional collaboration that make mathematics an instrument of social justice. Therefore, it is recommended for educational institutions to prioritize strengthening teachers' pedagogical competencies in differentiation strategies and formulate school policies that support the flexibility of facilities and assessments to ensure that mathematical literacy is equally accessible to all students.

REFERENCES

- Ainscow, M. (2020). Promoting inclusion and equity in education: lessons from international experiences. *Nordic Journal of Studies in Educational Policy*, 6(1), 7–16. <https://doi.org/10.1080/20020317.2020.1729587>



- Alghiffari, E. K., Alam, S. R., & Siswanto, D. H. (2024). Tren Publikasi Terkait Model Pengembangan 4D pada Pendidikan. *Jurnal Pendidikan Transformatif (JPT)*, 03(05), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.9000/jpt.v3i5.2021>
- Alkaabi, A. M., Abdallah, A. K., Badwy, H. R. I., Badawy, H. R. I., & Almammari, S. A. (2022). Rethinking school principals' leadership practices for an effective and inclusive education. *Rethinking Inclusion and Transformation in Special Education*, June, 53–70. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-6684-4680-5.ch004>
- Apriwulan, H. F., Siswanto, D. H., Susetyawati, M. M. E., & Hidayati, E. (2025). Systematic Management of Digital Education Services in Islamic Senior High Schools. *Jurnal Ilmiah Multidisiplin Ilmu*, 2(5), 216–226. <https://doi.org/10.69714/y1jnv13>
- Astuti, C. T., Leovanny, S., Aulia, L., Caesaria, N. Z., & Siswanto, D. H. (2025). Learning Approaches, Climate, Motivation, Soft Skills, and Achievement: A Comparative Study of Nature-Based and Conventional Educational Systems. *JPIM: Jurnal Penelitian Ilmiah Multidisiplin*, 02(04), 1832–1845.
- Black, P., & Wiliam, D. (1998). Assessment and classroom learning. *In International Journal of Phytoremediation*, 5(1), 7–74. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0969595980050102>
- CAST. (2018). *Universal Design for Learning guidelines version 2.2*. CAST. Inc. Retrieved from <https://udlguidelines.cast.org>.
- Fitriana, E., Siswanto, D. H., & Hanama, A. (2025). Impact of thematic worksheet-assisted meaningful learning implementation on students' mathematical concept understanding and metacognitive skills. *Jurnal Praktik Baik Pembelajaran Sekolah Dan Pesantren*, 4(02), 54–67.
- Florian, L. (2019). On the necessary co-existence of special and inclusive education. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 23(7), 691–704.
- Hatmoko, F. T., Wahyuni, N., Shahidayanti, T., & Siswanto, D. H. (2025). Maksimalisasi Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah Matematika melalui Penerapan Model PBL pada Siswa SD. *Murabbi*, 4(1), 13–21. <https://doi.org/10.69630/jm.v4i1.51>
- Janah, N., Setiawan, A., Putri, H. A., & Siswanto, D. H. (2025). Assistance of digital information systems to improve learning quality in vocational students. *Dedicated: Journal of Community Services (Pengabdian Kepada Masyarakat)*, 3(1), 251–262.
- Li, L., Ismail, S. M., Patra, I., & Lami, D. (2024). Not a passive learner but an active one: a focus on the efficacy of philosophy-based language instruction and its consequences on EFL learners' critical thinking, engagement, and academic achievement. *BMC Psychology*, 12(1), 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40359-024-01648-2>
- Limori, M., Siswanto, D. H., Shigematsu, T., & Astiwi, W. (2025). Integrating STEAM in Teaching Integrals: An Interactive Media Needs Analysis to Enhance Creative Thinking. *RUKASI: Jurnal Ilmiah Perkembangan Penedidikan Dan Pembelajaran*, 02(05).



- Maba, M. M. A., Siswanto, D. H., & Caesaria, N. Z. (2025). Ethnomathematical exploration of the Apem Wonolelo tradition in Sleman Regency. *Jurnal Padamu Negeri*, 2(4), 161–171. <https://doi.org/10.69714/x4xp7f07>
- Mala, R., Surya, P., & Soswanto, D. H. (2025). Managing Character Education for Students with Special Needs: A Framework of Planning, Organizing, Implementation and Evaluation. *ITQAN: Jurnal Ilmu-Ilmu Kependidikan*, 16(1), 65–76.
- Naufal, N., Apriani, F., Fajriana, Nurdin, Nurdin, K., & Siswanto, D. H. (2025). *Analisis Multivariat*. Padang: Literasi Langsung Terbit.
- Novantoro, A., Janah, Nur., & Siswanto, D. H. (2025). Peningkatkan kemampuan penalaran induktif matematika dengan model group investigation. *Papanda Journal of Mathematics and Science Research*, 4(1), 67–76.
- Nugroho, A. P., Risnawati, Dimara, E. A., & Siswanto, D. H. (2025). School-based management in student admission, orientation, and development: A qualitative case study. *JIMU: Jurnal Ilmiah Multidisipliner*, 03(04), 295–304.
- OECD. (2023). Results from PISA 2022: Indonesia. *OECD Publishing*.
- Osmanoglu, A., & Girit-Yildiz, D. (2024). Examining How Prospective Mathematics Teachers' Instructional Visions Align with Their Responding Practices Through Scripting Tasks. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 22(7), 1411–1434. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10763-023-10435-1>
- Pollock, E. (2006). *Cognitive limitations of human information processing*. New York: Academic Press.
- Rip, A., & Courtial, J. P. (1984). Co-word maps of biotechnology: An example of cognitive scientometrics. *Scientometrics*, 6(6), 381–400. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02025827>
- Rochmat, S., Andriyani, & Siswanto, D. H. (2025). Developing an RME-based 3D storybook with AR technology to enhance spatial ability. *Bulletin of Applied Mathematics and Mathematics Education*, 5(1), 9–22. <https://doi.org/10.12928/bamme.v5i1.10880>
- Saleh, F., Manalu, E. O., Amrizal, Nugroho, D. H., Pujowati, M., Siswanto, D. H., Rosnelli, Septikasari, D., Radhiyani, F., Rizku, A. I., Sabandar, V. F., & Tarso. (2025). *Kurikulum dan Pengembangan Kurikulum*. Padang: Literasi Langsung Terbit.
- Setiawan, A., Jannah, N., Kristiawan, Y., & Siswanto, D. H. (2024). Pelatihan pemanfaatan platform geschool dalam mendukung transformasi digital pendidikan di Sekolah Menengah Atas. *Jurnal Pengabdian Fakultas Ekonomi Dan Bisnis Pancasakti*, 1(1), 27–36.
- Sharma, U., & Sokal, L. (2016). Can Teachers' Self-Reported Efficacy, Concerns, and Attitudes Toward Inclusion Scores Predict Their Actual Inclusive Classroom Practices? *Australasian Journal of Special Education*, 40(1), 21–38.



- Shigematsu, T., Hadi Siswanto, D., & Hidayati, E. (2025). Strategic Evaluation of Computer-Based National Assessment Implementation Using SWOT Analysis: A Case Study. *JPIM: Jurnal Penelitian Ilmiah Multidisiplin*, 02(03), 1962–1969.
- Siswanto, D. H., & Astiwi, W. (2025). *Pembelajaran Matematika Digital: Transformasi dan Inovasi Era 5.0* (W. Yuliani, Ed.; 1st ed.). Padang: Literasi Langsung Terbit.
- Siswanto, D. H., Janah, N., & Syah, A. B. P. D. A. F. (2025). Analisis Kelancaran Prosedural dan Disposisi Produktif Matematis Murid: Faktor Penyebab dan Solusi Pembelajaran. *Journal on Mathematics Education Research*, 6(2), 91–100. <https://doi.org/10.17509/jmer.v%vi%i.81293>
- Siswanto, D. H., Kintoko, K., & Caesaria, N. Z. (2026). Humanistic Educational Philosophy as a Basis for Developing the Merdeka Curriculum. *JUPERAN: Jurnal Pendidikan Dan Pembelajaran*, 05(01), 01–09.
- Sugiyono. (2019). *Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif, Kualitatif, dan R&D*. Bandung: Alfabeta.
- Tan, C., & Ng, C. S. L. (2021). Cultivating creativity in a high-performing education system: The example of Singapore. *Journal of Curriculum and Pedagogy*, 18(3), 253–272. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15505170.2020.1808126>
- Tarso, Siswanto, D. H., & Setiawan, A. (2025). Teacher qualifications in the implementation of the Kurikulum Merdeka and ISMUBA. *Curricula: Journal of Curriculum Development*, 4(1), 13–28. <https://doi.org/10.17509/curricula.v4i1.76836>
- Tomlinson, C. A. (2014). *The Differentiated Classroom: Responding to the Needs of All Learners (2nd ed.)*. Alexandria, VA: ASCD.
- UNESCO. (2017). *Literacy for sustainable development in a world of change*. Paris: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization.
- UNESCO. (2023). *What is the global situation in relation to literacy?* <https://www.unesco.org/en/literacy/needknow#how-does-unesco-define-literacy->.
- Wahyuni, N., Alam, S. R., Alghiffari, E. K., & Siswanto, D. H. (2024). Harnessing TikTok for learning: Examining its impact on students' mathematical numeracy skills. *Journal of Professional Teacher Education*, 02(02), 48–56. <https://doi.org/10.12928/jprotect.v2i2.945>
- Wahyuni, N., Setiawan, A., Apriwulan, H. F., & Siswanto, D. H. (2024). Optimalisasi budaya positif sekolah untuk membentuk karakter profil pelajar pancasila pada murid Sekolah Dasar. *MURABBI*, 3(2), 79–90.
- Zimmerman, B. J. (2002). Becoming a self-regulated learner: An overview. *Theory Into Practice*, 41(2), 64–70. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15430421tip4102_2